IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O.O.C.J.

APPEAL No.300 OF 2006.
IN Writ Petition No. 2892 of 2005.

M/s. Neptune Enterprises through
its Partner Shri.Sachin M. Deshmukh. Appellant.

Versus.

State of Maharashtra through Its Revenue
and Forest Department and others....Respondents.

Mr. T.N. Subramaniam,Sr.Advocate with Mr. Sanjay Jain i/b L.D.Shah & Co., for the Appellant.

Mr. Pradeep Jadhav, AGP for Respondent 1 to 4.

Mr. D.H. Mehta with Mr. Pankaj Kansara,Advocate for respondent no. 5.

Mr. S.P. Nagvekar for respondent no. 6.

Mr. Milind Sathe, Sr. Advocate i/b V.M. Bhardwaj for Respondent no. 41.

Mr. V.A. Thorat, Sr. Advocate i/b Ashok Pandey for respondent No.22 to 43.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN AND
S.J.VAZIFDAR, JJ.

DATE : 25/04/2006.

P.C.

. The learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellant states that the Appellant is unconditionally withdrawing the above appeal and also categorically states that the Appellant is not contending before the Appeal Court that the impugned order was passed without their consent. The learned Senior counsel seeks leave that the Appellant be permitted to take out a Notice of

Motion before learned Single Judge for clarification with regard to the impugned order.

. Appeal is allowed to be withdrawn unconditionally with the aforesaid liberty.

. The appeal stands disposed accordingly.

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J)

(S.J. VAZIFDAR,J)